



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,678	06/04/2003	Francesco Tato	4161-2	4826
23117	7590 07/06/2005		EXAM	INER
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			GRAFFEO, MICHELLE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1614	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/088,678	TATO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michelle Graffeo	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication of the Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a mication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ill, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-70</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-70</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.					
	ocuments have been received in A					
 Copies of the certified copies of application from the International 	f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/088,678

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Action

The preliminary Amendment (October 16, 2002) canceled claims 1-27 and presented new claims 28-70. Claims 28-70 are pending and examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 68-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps in the method claim. See MPEP § 2172.01. Presently, there are no steps in the claims. The omitted steps should describe the method of using a protective compound.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Art Unit: 1614

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 28-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0297946 to Fondy et al. in view of Richman et al. Interferon Protects Normal Human Granulocyte/Macrophage Colon-Forming Cells from Ara-C Cytotoxicity. Journal of Biological Response Modifiers. 570-575 (1990), taken together with WO94/12202 to University of Dundee.

Fondy et al. teach a method of treating cells in vivo (see page 4 lines 35-37) and in vitro (see page 4 lines 43-47) comprising a protective cytochalasin compound such as cytochalasin D (see page 4 lines 25-30) and an antineoplastic agent such as the vinca alkaloids (see page 7 lines 17-24) wherein the components can be administered sequentially (see page 4 lines 48-50).

Fondy et al. state that the cytochalasin and antineoplastic agents can be administered sequentially but do not recite specific examples thereto. As applied below, Richman et al. teach a method of treating normal and malignant cells in vitro comprising a 1 hr pretreatment of a protective compound.

Richman et al. teach a method of treating normal and malignant cells in vitro comprising a 1 hr pretreatment (see Summary) of a protective compound, specifically IFN which is known to block cell cycle progression in normal cells (see introduction

Application/Control Number: 10/088,678

Art Unit: 1614

page 570), followed by a treatment with Ara-C (cytarabine) resulting in the protection of normal cells (see Results page 572) whereas there is no significant protection of leukemia cells (see Results page 573).

Neither Fondy et al. nor Richman et al. speak directly about tumor cells having an inactive p53 pathway. Nonetheless, Applicant admits on page 5 lines 1-5 of the specification that most tumor cells lack a functional p53 pathway. Additionally, University is cited to show the state of the art at the time the instant application was filed and teaches that mutation of p53 is a very common genetic alteration in human cancers (see page 1 in the background of the invention). Thus these claims would be appropriate for almost any tumor model.

Neither Fondy et al. nor Richman et al. recite the treatment of alopecia specifically, but since alopecia is caused by chemotherapies that are not selective for normal proliferating cells, one skilled in the art would find the treatment of alopecia to be a necessary result of the claimed invention.

Neither Fondy et al. nor Richman et al. teach specific times for multiple rounds of pretreatments and treatments with the claimed method. Nonetheless, one skilled in the art would find it obvious in view of routine optimization to vary the times for pretreatment and washing of the cells in preparation for an additional round of pretreatment and treatment.

One skilled in the art would be motivated to combine Fondy et al. with Richman et al. Both are directed to the specific protection of non-cancerous cells by inhibiting cell cycle progression while targeting proliferating tumor cells. Further, Richman et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,678 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

suggest that the protective agent and chemotherapy can be administered sequentially as well as concurrently (see Examples 16 and 17 which show in vitro treatment of cells with ADR which were treated with cytochalasin according to Example 14). Thus, the claimed invention of the composition was within the ordinary skill in the art to make and

use at the time it was made and was as a whole, prima facie obvious.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Graffeo whose telephone number is 571-272-8505. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am to 5:30pm Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5 Jul 2005

MG

MG